# An introduction to modeling interferometric data.

**EuroWinter School Observing with the Very Large Telescope Interferometer** 

> Les Houches, France February 3-8, 2002

J.P. Berger Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics February 4th 2002

# Imaging with an interferometer

Practical application of the Van-Cittert Zernike theorem



# Model fitting

### This talk adresses the basic issues of interpreting visibilities directly



**Realistic in the VLTI AMBER and MIDI contexts** 

Model fitting in the visibility domain is a very attractive complement (alternative) to imaging:

- domain where measurements are made-> errors easier to recognize
- when (u,v) plane sampling is poor
- might be better to address some issues such as source variability

#### OUTLINE

- 1. Modeling visibilities: principles.
- 2. Some useful basic functions.
- 3. Standard issues.
- 4. Constraining the parameter space
- 5. Using your own model



# Ad-hoc modeling

Fourier transform properties Use of basic intensity distribution functions. Important first step towards modeling with real physical model

### Fourier transform properties:

1.	Addition	$FT\{f(x,y) + g(x,y)\} = F(u,v) + G(u,v)$

- **2.** Convolution  $FT{f(x,y) \times g(x,y)} = F(u,v).G(u,v)$
- 3. Shift theorem  $FT\{f(x x_0, y y_0) = F(u, v) \exp[2\pi i(ux_0 + vy_0)]\}$

4. Similarity theorem 
$$FT\{f(ax, by)\} = \frac{1}{|ab|}F(u/a, v/a)$$



### Gaussian brightness distribution.



# Uniform disk

**Use:** aproximation for brightness distribution of photospheric disk.

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{r}) &= 4/(\pi a^2), \text{ifr} = \sqrt{\mathbf{x}^2 + \mathbf{y}^2} \le \mathbf{a}/2\\ \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{r}) &= 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{split}$$

#### a: diameter

Sophistication of the model I = f(r), limb darkening Cf Young



J.P. Berger -- Modeling of interferometric data



# Resolved bi-structure

Use: Describing any multicomponent structure.



$$V^{2}(u,v) = \frac{r_{ab}^{2} * V_{a}^{2} + V_{b}^{2} + 2r_{ab}|V_{a}||V_{b}|\cos(2\pi \vec{L_{b}s}/\lambda)}{(1+r_{ab}^{2})}$$

Where Va and Vb are respectively the visibility of object A and B at baseline (u,v)

Generalization:

$$V(u, v) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} F_i V(u_i, v_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{k} F_i}$$

## Unresolved ring & Ellipse

Use: allowing to describe a more complex centro-symmetric structure and compute its visibility



# Circularly symmetric component

Circularly symmetric component I (r) centered at the origin of the (x,y) coordinate system.



The relationship between brightness distribution and visibility is a Hankel function

$$V(\rho) = 2\pi \int_0^\infty I(r) J_0(2\pi r \rho) r dr \qquad \text{with} \qquad \rho = \sqrt{u^2 + v^2}$$

J.P. Berger -- Modeling of interferometric data

# Classical issues

Model fitting is also a deconvolution process: sizes estimates or positional uncertainties can smaller than the canonical resolution (the "beam" size"): **super resolution** 

If the object is barely resolved the exact brightness distribution is not crucial - the dependance is quadratic for all the basic functions.



Observing with the VLTI

J.P. Berger -- Modeling of interferometric data

# Classical issues

An extended source contributing weakly to the total flux level will have as high visibility As a more compact source.

Choosing the observational parameters upon their constraining force is useful: use model derivatives.

# Conclusion

- ✓ Visibility study without imaging can be efficient.
- $\checkmark$  It is the natural way to understand the errors of the final result.
- Always start by describing your observations in terms of basic functions. It brings quantitative information useful for further more detailed computations.
- ✓ Use basic models in order to prepare your observation and determine what is the more constraining configuration.